arXiv:1609.06117v1 [hep-ph] 20 Sep 2016

Triply heavy tetraquark states with the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ configuration

Kan Chen[1,2], Xiang Liu[1,2]*
[1] School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China
[2] Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Lanzhou University and Institute of Modern Physics of CAS, Lanzhou 730000, China

Jing Wu[3], Yan-Rui Liu[3]†
[3] School of Physics and Key Laboratory of Particle Physics and Particle Irradiation (MOE), Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

Shi-Lin Zhu[4,5,6]‡
[4] School of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China
[5] Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, China
[6] Center of High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Dated: September 21, 2016)

In the framework of the color-magnetic interaction, we systematically investigate the mass splittings of the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ tetraquark states and estimated their rough masses in this work. These systems include the explicitly exotic states $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and the hidden exotic states $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$, and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$. If a state around the estimated mass region could be observed, its nature as a genuine tetraquark is favored. The strong decay patterns shown here will be helpful to the experimental search for these exotic states.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, many exotic XYZ states were observed in experiments [1–7]. Among them, the charged charmonium-like or bottomonium-like states, the $Z(4430)$ [8–11], the $Z_1(4050)$ [12], the $Z_2(4250)$ [12], the $Z_c(3900)$ [13–16], the $Z_c(3885)$ [17–19], the $Z_c(4020)$[20, 21], the $Z_c(4025)$[22, 23], the $Z_c(4200)$[10], the $Z_b(10610)$ [24] and the $Z_b(10650)$ [24], are considered as possible tetraquatk candidates with two heavy quarks. They are also good meson-antimeson molecule candidates.

Besides the hidden flavor case, the exotic charmed mesons also stimulated heated discussions on tetraquark candidates. The possible candidates of the exotic charmed mesons $D_s(2317)$ [25–27], $D_s(2460)$ [25, 26] and $D_s(2632)$ [28] have attracted much attention due to the deviation of their from the quark model expectations [29] and their unexpected decay properties. Cheng and Hou [30] interpreted the $D_s(2317)$ as a $cq\bar{q}\bar{s}$ state to explain its mass and decay behaviors. In Ref. [31], Chen and Li proposed that the $D_s(2317)$ ($D_s(2460)$) is a DK (D^*K) molecule while the $D_s(2632)$ is a $c\bar{s}s\bar{s}$ state. The decay processes $D_s(2317) \to D_s^+\pi^0, D_s^{*+}\gamma$ are studied in a four-quark meson assumption in Ref. [32], which favors the assignment as an iso-triplet. Maiani et al. suggested the $D_s(2632)$ as a $[cd\bar{d}\bar{s}]$ state [33] to explain that the D^0K^+ mode is suppressed with respect to the $D_s^+\eta$ channel. On the other hand, Liu et al. [34] proposed that the anomalous decay ratio of the $D_s(2632)$ can be understood by assuming a four quark state wave function $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(ds\bar{d} + sd\bar{d} + su\bar{u} + us\bar{u} - 2ss\bar{s})$. The $D_s(2632)$ was not confirmed later. The $D_s(2317)$ and the $D_s(2460)$ mesons are probably conventional charm-strange mesons which are affected largely by the coupled channel effects [35]. The existence of the open flavor tetraquarks remains elusive.

Recently, the DØ Collaboration [36] reported a structure $X(5568)$ in the $B_s^0\pi^\pm$ invariant mass distribution. This narrow state is about 200 MeV below the $B\bar{K}$ threshold. Thus, the $X(5568)$ could be a tetraquark state with four different flavors [37–50] rather than a molecule state because the binding is too deep for a weak $B\bar{K}$ interaction in the isovector case [51–54]. Later, the LHCb Collaboration [55] also investigated the $X(5568)$ state, but found no significant signals, which led some theorists to doubt whether the $X(5568)$ is a genuine resonance [56–66]. The CMS Collaboration did not confirm the state, either [67]. In this work, we move on to the four quark systems with more

*Electronic address: xiangliu@lzu.edu.cn
†Electronic address: yrliu@sdu.edu.cn
‡Electronic address: zhusl@pku.edu.cn

heavy quarks to search for more compact tetraquark states, such as $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$.

In Ref. [68], a structure composed of a charm-anticharm quark pair as well as a light quark-antiquark pair, $c\bar{c}q\bar{q}$, was proposed. Other exotic mesons with hidden charm including $c\bar{c}c\bar{c}$ were also discussed. After that, more groups discussed the existence of such exotic states in various methods [69–76]. There are different opinions on the stability of the $c\bar{c}c\bar{c}$ system. Whether such superheavy tetraquark states exist or not awaits experimental judgement in the future.

Usually, it is difficult for one to distinguish a meson-antimeson molecule from a compact tetraquark. However, for the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ system, the binding force comes from the short-range gluon exchange and a molecule configuration is not favored. If such states do exist, it is also possible that the compact tetraquarks with three heavy quarks and one light quark $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ may exist. The binding force is also provided by the short-range gluon exchange. These states look like the excited D or B mesons.

Recently, two excited nucleon states $P_c(4380)$ and $P_c(4450)$ are observed by the LHCb Collaboration [77–79], where a charm-anticharm pair is excited. Such pentaquarks were predicted in the baryon-meson picture in Refs. [80–83]. It is also possible that a $c\bar{c}$ or $b\bar{b}$ pair may be excited in the charmed or bottomed mesons. Once such states are really observed, their molecule assignment is not supported while the tetraquark nature is favorable. The experimental and theoretical search for this kind of tetraquark states is missing, as far as we know. In this work, we perform a systematic analysis of the mass spectrum of the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ system, which may provide important information for future experimental research.

Because the interaction strengths between (anti)quarks may be different, it is usually assumed that the diquark substructure exists in multiquark states, which is reflected in the mass spectra. In studying pentaquark states [84], it was argued that the triquark ($qq\bar{q}$) substructure results in a lower hadron mass. If one specifies the substructure with a given color-spin state, the two configurations result in different spectra. Here we consider all possible color-spin states and diagonalize the Hamiltonian finally. To understand whether they are equivalent for the compact $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ system, we estimate the mass in the diquark-antidiquark $(QQ)(\bar{Q}\bar{q})$ picture and the triquark-antiquark $(QQ\bar{Q})\bar{q}$ picture. One will see that, for the present systems, the two configurations give the same results once the diagonalization is performed.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we present the formalism of our calculation. In Sec. III, we show the numerical results for the various $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ systems. Finally, we give some discussions and a short summary in the last section.

II. FORMALISM

To describe the chromomagnetic interaction in the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ system, we use the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H &= H_0 + H_{CM} \\ &= \sum_i m_i - \sum_{i<j} C_{ij}\lambda_i\cdot\lambda_j\sigma_i\cdot\sigma_j, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where m_i is the effective mass of the i-th quark which includes the constituent quark mass and the binding effect. The σ_i (λ_i) is the Pauli (Gell-Manm) matrix. For antiquarks, λ_i is replaced with $-\lambda_i^*$. The coupling constant $C_{ij} = c_0\langle\delta(r_{ij})\rangle/(m_i m_j)$ is determined by the wave function and the constituent quark mass, where c_0 is related to the interaction constant, r_{ij} is the distance between the i-quark and the j-th quark. In order to calculate the color-spin matrix elements, we adopt the formalism in Ref. [88, 90]. First, we construct the color and spin wave functions by using both the diquark-antidiquark and the triquark-antiquark configurations, and then calculate the color and spin matrix elements with the Hamiltonians $H_C = -\sum_{i<j} C_{ij}\lambda_i\cdot\lambda_j$ and $H_S = -\sum_{i<j} C_{ij}\sigma_i\cdot\sigma_j$, respectively. Finally, we get the $\langle H_{CM}\rangle$ matrices after performing a type of "tensor product" of $\langle H_C\rangle$ and $\langle H_S\rangle$.

In the diquark-antidiquark configuration, the allowed base vectors in spin space read

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &= |(Q_1Q_2)_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1\rangle_2, \quad \chi_2 = |(Q_1Q_2)_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1\rangle_1, \\ \chi_3 &= |(Q_1Q_2)_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1\rangle_0, \quad \chi_4 = |(Q_1Q_2)_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_0\rangle_1, \\ \chi_5 &= |(Q_1Q_2)_0(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_1\rangle_1, \quad \chi_6 = |(Q_1Q_2)_0(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_0\rangle_0, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the notation on the right hand side is $|(Q_1Q_2)_{spin}(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)_{spin}\rangle_{spin}$. Similarly, the base vectors in spin space in the triquark-antiquark configuration are

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_1 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]_{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_2, \quad \zeta_2 = |[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]_{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1, \\ \zeta_3 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1, \quad \zeta_4 = |[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_0, \\ \zeta_5 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_0\bar{Q}_3]_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1, \quad \zeta_6 = |[(Q_1Q_2)_0\bar{Q}_3]_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_0, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where the notation $|[(Q_1Q_2)_{spin}\bar{Q}_3]_{spin}\bar{q}_4)\rangle_{spin}$ is used. With the explicit spin wave functions, one finds that $\chi_1=\zeta_1$, $\chi_3=\zeta_4$, $\chi_5=\zeta_5$, and $\chi_6=\zeta_6$.

The color wave functions for the diquark belong to the 6_c or $\bar{3}_c$ representation, while those of the anti-diquark belong to the $\bar{6}_c$ or 3_c representation. In the diquark-antidiquark configuration, the base vectors in color space are

$$\phi_1=|(Q_1Q_2)^6(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}\rangle,\quad \phi_2=|(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3\rangle, \tag{4}$$

where the superscripts are color representations. In the triquark-antiquark configuration, there are two triplet representations 3_{MS} and 3_{MA} for the triquark where MS (MA) means that the first two quarks are symmetric (antisymmetric). The base vectors $|(Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3)^{3_{MS}}\bar{q}_4\rangle$ and $|(Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3)^{3_{MA}}\bar{q}_4\rangle$ seem different from those in the diquark-antidiquark configuration. However, we find that the two configurations give the same results by constructing the explicit color wave functions. That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1 &= |(Q_1Q_2)^6(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}\rangle = |(Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3)^{3_{MS}}\bar{q}_4\rangle\\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}}[2(bb\bar{b}\bar{b}+rr\bar{r}\bar{r}+gg\bar{g}\bar{g})+rb\bar{b}\bar{r}+rb\bar{r}\bar{b}+br\bar{b}\bar{r}+br\bar{r}\bar{b}\\
&\quad +gb\bar{b}\bar{g}+gb\bar{g}\bar{b}+bg\bar{b}\bar{g}+bg\bar{g}\bar{b}+gr\bar{r}\bar{g}+gr\bar{g}\bar{r}+rg\bar{g}\bar{r}+rg\bar{r}\bar{g}],\\
\phi_2 &= |(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3\rangle = |(Q_1Q_2\bar{Q}_3)^{3_{MA}}\bar{q}_4\rangle\rangle\\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}[gr\bar{r}\bar{g}-gr\bar{g}\bar{r}-rg\bar{r}\bar{g}+rg\bar{g}\bar{r}+rb\bar{b}\bar{r}-rb\bar{r}\bar{b}\\
&\quad -br\bar{b}\bar{r}+br\bar{r}\bar{b}-gb\bar{g}\bar{b}+gb\bar{b}\bar{g}+bg\bar{g}\bar{b}-bg\bar{b}\bar{g}].
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

For the *color* $\otimes$ *spin* wave functions, the possible Pauli principle restriction has to be considered. In the diquark-antidiquark configuration, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1\chi_1 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)^6_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}_1\rangle_2\delta_{12},\quad \phi_2\chi_1=|(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3_1\rangle_2,\\
\phi_1\chi_2 &= |(Q_1Q_2)^6_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}_1\rangle_1\delta_{12},\quad \phi_2\chi_2=|(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3_1\rangle_1,\\
\phi_1\chi_3 &= |(Q_1Q_2)^6_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}_1\rangle_0\delta_{12},\quad \phi_2\chi_3=|(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3_1\rangle_0,\\
\phi_1\chi_4 &= |(Q_1Q_2)^6_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}_0\rangle_1\delta_{12},\quad \phi_2\chi_4=|(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}_1(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3_0\rangle_1,\\
\phi_1\chi_5 &= |(Q_1Q_2)^6_0(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}_1\rangle_1,\quad \phi_2\chi_5=|(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3_1\rangle_1\delta_{12},\\
\phi_1\chi_6 &= |(Q_1Q_2)^6_0(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^{\bar{6}}_0\rangle_0,\quad \phi_2\chi_6=|(Q_1Q_2)^{\bar{3}}_0(\bar{Q}_3\bar{q}_4)^3_0\rangle_0\delta_{12},
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where $\delta_{12}=0$ if Q_1 and Q_2 are identical quarks, and $\delta_{12}=1$ for the other cases. Similarly, the triquark-antiquark base vectors in the *color* $\otimes$ *spin* space are

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_1\zeta_1 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MS}}_{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_2\delta_{12},\quad \phi_2\zeta_1=|[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MA}}_{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_2,\\
\phi_1\zeta_2 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MS}}_{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1\delta_{12},\quad \phi_2\zeta_2=|[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MA}}_{\frac{3}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1,\\
\phi_1\zeta_3 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MS}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1\delta_{12},\quad \phi_2\zeta_3=|[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MA}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1,\\
\phi_1\zeta_5 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_0\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MS}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1,\quad \phi_2\zeta_5=|[(Q_1Q_2)_0\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MA}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_1\delta_{12},\\
\phi_1\zeta_4 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MS}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_0\delta_{12},\quad \phi_2\zeta_4=|[(Q_1Q_2)_1\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MA}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_0,\\
\phi_1\zeta_6 &= |[(Q_1Q_2)_0\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MS}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_0,\quad \phi_2\zeta_6=|[(Q_1Q_2)_0\bar{Q}_3]^{3_{MA}}_{\frac{1}{2}}\bar{q}_4\rangle_0\delta_{12}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

To exhaust all possible configurations of the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ system, one replaces each Q by either c or b quark. The cases we need to study are: $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$, and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ ($q=u,d,s$). They can be divided into two classes: (1) $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$; and (2) $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$. Because of the Pauli principle for the first class, $\delta_{12}=0$ has to be adopted and thus there are 6 independent *color* $\otimes$ *spin* bases. The second class is not constrained by the Pauli principle and there are twelve independent bases.

A. The $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems

The quantum numbers of these systems are $I(J^P)=\frac{1}{2}(2^+)$, $\frac{1}{2}(1^+)$, or $\frac{1}{2}(0^+)$ when $q=u,d$. The isospin is 0 if $q=s$. To write the CMI (color-magnetic interaction) matrices in a convenient form, we define the combinations of

the effective couplings: $\mu = 3C_{12} - C_{34}$, $\nu = C_{12} - 3C_{34}$, $\alpha = C_{12} + C_{34}$, $\beta = C_{13} + C_{14}$, $\gamma = C_{23} + C_{24}$, $\eta = C_{13} - C_{14}$, $\tau = C_{23} - C_{24}$, $\beta' = C_{13} + C_{23}$, $\gamma' = C_{14} + C_{24}$, $\eta' = C_{13} - C_{23}$, and $\tau' = C_{14} - C_{24}$.

In the case $J = 2$, there is only one state: $\phi_2\chi_1 = \psi_2\zeta_1$. The average of the CMI is $\langle H_{CM}\rangle = \frac{8}{3}(\alpha + \beta)$ in both the diquark-antidiquark and triquark-antiquark configurations. For a state with given quark content, one replaces the C_{ij} with appropriate number. For example, for the $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ system, $C_{12} = C_{bb}$, $C_{13} = C_{23} = C_{b\bar{b}}$, and so on.

With the diquark-antidiquark base $(\phi_2\chi_3, \phi_1\chi_6)^T$, the CMI matrix in the $J = 0$ case is

$$\langle H_{CM}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{8}{3}(\alpha - 2\beta) & 4\sqrt{6}\beta \\ & 4\alpha \end{pmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Since $(\phi_2\zeta_4, \phi_1\zeta_6)^T = (\phi_2\chi_3, \phi_1\chi_6)^T$, one also gets this matrix in the triquark-antiquark configuration.

In the $J = 1$ case, one has different CMI matrix elements for the two configurations. The obtained matrix is

$$\langle H_{CM}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{8}{3}(\alpha - \beta) & \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{3}\eta & 8\eta \\ & \frac{8}{3}\nu & -4\sqrt{2}\beta \\ & & \frac{4}{3}\mu \end{pmatrix} \tag{9}$$

with the diquark-antidiqurk base vector $(\phi_2\chi_2, \phi_2\chi_4, \phi_1\chi_5)^T$. In the triquark-antiquark configuration, one gets

$$\langle H_{CM}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{9}(\mu + 3\nu + 3\beta' - 5\gamma') & \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{9}(\mu - 3\nu - 2\gamma') & -\frac{8\sqrt{3}}{3}\gamma' \\ & \frac{8}{9}(\mu - 3\beta' + \gamma') & \frac{2\sqrt{6}}{3}(3\beta' - \gamma') \\ & & \frac{4}{3}\mu \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

with the base vector $(\phi_2\zeta_2, \phi_2\zeta_3, \phi_1\zeta_5)^T$. The last matrix elements in the two configurations are the same because $\phi_1\zeta_5 = \phi_1\chi_5$.

B. The $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ systems

The wave functions are not constrained by the Pauli principle and we have $\delta_{12} = 1$. When the total spin of such systems is $J = 2$, the allowed base states are $\phi_1\chi_1$ and $\phi_2\chi_1$ in the diquark-antidiquark configuration. Then the CMI matrix is

$$\langle H_{CM}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{3}(2\alpha + \beta + \gamma) & -2\sqrt{2}(\eta - \tau) \\ & \frac{2}{3}(-2\alpha + 5\beta + 5\gamma) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{11}$$

We use the base vector $(\phi_2\chi_3, \phi_2\chi_6, \phi_1\chi_3, \phi_1\chi_6)^T$ for the CMI matrix in the diquark-antidiquark configuration when the total spin is 0 and get

$$\langle H_{CM}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{8}{3}(\alpha - \beta - \gamma) & -\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}(\eta - \tau) & 4\sqrt{2}(\eta - \tau) & 2\sqrt{6}(\beta + \gamma) \\ & -8\alpha & 2\sqrt{6}(\beta + \gamma) & 0 \\ & & -\frac{4}{3}(\alpha + 5\beta + 5\gamma) & -\frac{10}{\sqrt{3}}(\eta - \tau) \\ & & & 4\alpha \end{pmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

In the case of $J = 1$, we use $(\phi_1\chi_2, \phi_1\chi_4, \phi_1\chi_5, \phi_2\chi_2, \phi_2\chi_4, \phi_2\chi_5)^T$ as the base vector for CMI in the diquark-antidiquark configuration. The obtained CMI matrix reads

$$\langle H_{CM}\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2}{3}(2\alpha + 5\beta + 5\gamma) & \frac{10\sqrt{2}}{3}(\eta + \tau) & \frac{-10\sqrt{2}}{3}(\beta - \gamma) & 2\sqrt{2}(\eta - \tau) & -4(\beta - \gamma) & 4(\eta + \tau) \\ & -\frac{4}{3}\nu & \frac{10}{3}(\eta - \tau) & -4(\beta - \gamma) & 0 & -2\sqrt{2}(\beta + \gamma) \\ & & \frac{4}{3}\mu & 4(\eta + \tau) & -2\sqrt{2}(\beta + \gamma) & 0 \\ & & & \frac{4}{3}(2\alpha - \beta - \gamma) & \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3}(\eta + \tau) & \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3}(-\beta + \gamma) \\ & & & & \frac{8}{3}\nu & \frac{4}{3}(\eta - \tau) \\ & & & & & -\frac{8}{3}\mu \end{pmatrix}. \tag{13}$$

From $(\phi_1\zeta_1, \phi_2\zeta_1)^T = (\phi_1\chi_1, \phi_2\chi_1)^T$ and $(\phi_1\zeta_4, \phi_1\zeta_6, \phi_2\zeta_4, \phi_2\zeta_6)^T = (\phi_1\chi_3, \phi_1\chi_6, \phi_2\chi_3, \phi_2\chi_6)^T$, it is easy to understand that the CMI matrices in the triquark-antiquark configuration are the same as the above ones for the tensor

$(J=2)$ and scalar $(J=0)$ systems, respectively. The difference only occurs in the case of $J=1$. If we choose the base vector $(\phi_1\zeta_2, \phi_1\zeta_3, \phi_1\zeta_5, \phi_2\zeta_2, \phi_2\zeta_3, \phi_2\zeta_5)^T$, the obtained CMI matrix in the triquark-antiquark configuration is

$$\langle H_{CM}\rangle = \frac{2}{9}\times \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\mu+15\beta' \\ -3\nu-25\gamma' \end{pmatrix} & \sqrt{2}\begin{pmatrix} 3\nu-\mu \\ -10\gamma' \end{pmatrix} & -10\sqrt{6}\tau' & -3\sqrt{2}(3\eta'+5\tau') & -12\tau' & -12\sqrt{3}\gamma' \\ & 2\begin{pmatrix} 5\gamma'-\mu \\ -15\beta' \end{pmatrix} & -5\sqrt{3}(3\eta'+\tau') & -12\tau' & 6\sqrt{2}(3\eta'+\tau') & 3\sqrt{6}(3\beta'-\gamma') \\ & & 6\mu & -12\sqrt{3}\gamma' & 3\sqrt{6}(3\beta'-\gamma') & 0 \\ & & & 2\begin{pmatrix} \mu+3\nu+ \\ 3\beta'-5\gamma' \end{pmatrix} & 2\sqrt{2}\begin{pmatrix} \mu-3\nu \\ -2\gamma' \end{pmatrix} & -4\sqrt{6}\tau' \\ & & & & 4\begin{pmatrix} \mu+\gamma' \\ -3\beta' \end{pmatrix} & -2\sqrt{3}(3\eta'+\tau') \\ & & & & & -12\mu \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14}$$

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

A. Parameters

The parameters C_{Qq} $(Q=c,b,\ q=n,s$ with $n=u,d)$ can be extracted from the masses of charmed and bottom baryons while the parameters $C_{Q\bar{Q}}$ $(C_{Q\bar{q}})$ are determined from the heavy quarkonium (D and B) mesons. We list the derived parameters in Tab. I. For $C_{b\bar{c}}$, C_{bc}, C_{bb} and C_{cc}, since the relevant baryons are not observed in experiments, we use an estimation $C_{b\bar{c}}=3.3$ MeV from a quark model calculation [29] and use the approximation $C_{cc}=C_{c\bar{c}}$, $C_{bc}=C_{b\bar{c}}$, $C_{cc}=C_{c\bar{c}}$ and $C_{bb}=C_{b\bar{b}}$.

TABLE I: The effective coupling parameters in units of MeV.

Hadron	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Hadron	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Parameter
N	$-8C_{nn}$	Δ	$8C_{nn}$	$C_{nn}=18.4$
Σ	$\frac{8}{3}C_{nn}-\frac{32}{3}C_{ns}$	Σ^*	$\frac{8}{3}C_{nn}+\frac{16}{3}C_{ns}$	$C_{ns}=12.4$
Ξ^0	$\frac{8}{3}(C_{ss}-4C_{ns})$	Ξ^{*0}	$\frac{8}{3}(C_{ss}+C_{ns})$	
Ω	$8C_{ss}$			$C_{ss}=6.4$
D	$-16C_{c\bar{n}}$	D^*	$\frac{16}{3}C_{c\bar{n}}$	$C_{c\bar{n}}=6.7$
D_s	$-16C_{c\bar{s}}$	D_s^*	$\frac{16}{3}C_{c\bar{s}}$	$C_{c\bar{s}}=6.7$
B	$-16C_{b\bar{n}}$	B^*	$\frac{16}{3}C_{b\bar{n}}$	$C_{b\bar{n}}=2.1$
B_s	$-16C_{b\bar{s}}$	B^*	$\frac{16}{3}C_{b\bar{s}}$	$C_{b\bar{s}}=2.3$
η_c	$-16C_{c\bar{c}}$	J/ψ	$\frac{16}{3}C_{c\bar{c}}$	$C_{c\bar{c}}=5.3$
η_b	$-16C_{b\bar{b}}$	Υ	$\frac{16}{3}C_{b\bar{b}}$	$C_{b\bar{b}}=2.9$
Σ_c	$\frac{8}{3}C_{nn}-\frac{32}{3}C_{cn}$	Σ_c^*	$\frac{8}{3}C_{nn}+\frac{16}{3}C_{cn}$	$C_{cn}=4.0$
Ξ_c'	$\frac{8}{3}C_{ns}-\frac{16}{3}C_{cn}-\frac{16}{3}C_{cs}$	Ξ_c^*	$\frac{8}{3}C_{ns}+\frac{8}{3}C_{cn}+\frac{8}{3}C_{cs}$	$C_{cs}=4.6$
Σ_b	$\frac{8}{3}C_{nn}-\frac{32}{3}C_{bn}$	Σ_b^*	$\frac{8}{3}C_{nn}+\frac{16}{3}C_{bn}$	$C_{bn}=1.3$
Ξ_b'	$\frac{8}{3}C_{ns}-\frac{16}{3}C_{bn}-\frac{16}{3}C_{bs}$	Ξ_b^*	$\frac{8}{3}C_{ns}+\frac{8}{3}C_{bn}+\frac{8}{3}C_{bs}$	$C_{bs}=1.2$

The present study is not a dynamical calculation and we use two schemes to estimate roughly the mass of the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ systems. In the first scheme, we use the effective quark masses $m_c=1724.8$ MeV, $m_b=5052.9$ MeV, $m_n=361.8$ MeV and $m_s=540.4$ MeV as inputs. These masses are extracted from the known baryons. We have shown in Ref. [91] that these values lead to overestimated meson masses and give an upper limit for the ground state tetraquarks. In the second scheme, we determine the tetraquark masses by comparing to the threshold of a two-meson system. We will mainly focus on the results in the second scheme. The meson masses we will use in this work are [92]: $m_\Upsilon=9460.3$ MeV, $m_{\eta_b}=9398.0$ MeV, $m_{J/\psi}=3096.9$ MeV, $m_{\eta_c}=2983.6$ MeV, $m_D=1864.8$ MeV, $m_{D^*}=2007.0$ MeV, $m_{D_s}=1968.3$ MeV, $m_{D_s^*}=2112.1$ MeV, $m_B=5279.4$ MeV, $m_{B^*}=5325.2$ MeV, $m_{B_s}=5366.8$ MeV, $m_{B_s^*}=5415.4$ MeV, and $m_{B_c}=6275.6$ MeV.

B. The $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$, $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ and $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems in diquark-antidiquark configuration

By substituting the parameters into the CMI matrices in the previous section and diagonalizing the matrices, we obtain the eigenvalues of the CMI and the tetraquark masses with $M = \sum_i m_i + \langle H_{CM} \rangle$. In the second scheme, we use the formula $M = M_{ref} - \langle H_{CM} \rangle_{ref} + \langle H_{CM} \rangle$.

TABLE II: Results for the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ systems in units of MeV. The masses in the fifth column are calculated with the effective quark masses, which are theoretical upper limits. The last column lists masses estimated from the $J/\psi D$ ($J/\psi D_s$) threshold.

$cc\bar{c}\bar{n}$ system					
J^P	$\langle H_{CM} \rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	$(J/\psi D)$
2^+	56.8	56.8	1	5593.0	5097.4
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -7.2 & -5.3 & -11.2 \\ -5.3 & -17.9 & -67.9 \\ -11.2 & -67.9 & 15.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -72.8 \\ 69.4 \\ -5.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.17, -0.77, -0.61\} \\ \{-0.07, -0.61, 0.79\} \\ \{0.98, -0.18, -0.04\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5463.4 \\ 5605.6 \\ 5530.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4967.8 \\ 5110.0 \\ 5034.9 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -39.2 & 117.6 \\ 117.6 & 37.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -124.6 \\ 122.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.81, 0.59\} \\ \{-0.59, -0.81\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5411.6 \\ 5658.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4916.0 \\ 5163.3 \end{pmatrix}$
$cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$ system					
J^P	$\langle H_{CM} \rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	$(J/\psi D_s)$
2^+	58.4	58.4	1	5773.2	5202.5
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -5.6 & -5.3 & -11.2 \\ -5.3 & -22.7 & -67.9 \\ -11.2 & -67.9 & 15.1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -76.0 \\ 67.1 \\ -4.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.15, -0.78, -0.60\} \\ \{-0.08, -0.60, 0.80\} \\ \{0.98, -0.17, -0.03\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5638.8 \\ 5781.9 \\ 5710.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5068.2 \\ 5211.2 \\ 5139.8 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -37.6 & 117.6 \\ 117.6 & 39.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 124.7 \\ -122.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.59, 0.81\} \\ \{-0.81, 0.59\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5839.5 \\ 5592.1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5268.9 \\ 5021.4 \end{pmatrix}$

We present the CMI matrices, eigenvalues, eigenvectors and the estimated masses for the $cc\bar{c}\bar{n}$ ($n = u, d$) and the $cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$ systems in Table II. If these states really exist, probably the masses are slightly above the values in the last column. The reason is that the mass estimated with a color-spin interaction seems underestimated and a correction from the additional kinetic energy is probably needed [91, 93]. The mass splitting between the $cc\bar{c}\bar{n}$ tetraquarks with different spins is at most 250 MeV. The maximum splitting for the $cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$ tetraquarks is similar. We plot the relative positions of these states in Fig. 1, where the masses in the threshold approach are adopted.



FIG. 1: Proposed $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ (left) and $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ (right) tetraquark states. The solid (dashed) line corresponds to the case $q = u, d$ ($q = s$). The dotted line indicates various meson-meson thresholds. When a number in the subscript of a meson-meson state is equal to the spin of an initial state, the decay for the initial state into that meson-meson channel through S- or D-wave is allowed. The masses are given in units of MeV.

The quantum numbers of these $cc\bar{c}\bar{n}$ ($cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$) tetraquarks are the same as those of D_0^*, D_1, and D_2^* (D_{s0}^*, D_{s1}, and D_{s2}^*). The orbital or radial excitation cannot induce a state 2500 MeV higher than the ground state. Therefore, once the predicted states could be observed, it is easy to identify them as D- or D_s^+-like mesons with an excited charm-anticharm pair. The argument is similar to the work in predicting the hidden charm pentaquarks [80]. Compared

with the pentaquark case, the binding force for the present system is dominantly provided by the gluon exchange interaction. The contribution from the meson exchange is highly suppressed and the interaction between a charmonium and a heavy-light meson is not strong. As a result, a molecule or cusp interpretation is not favored once the resonance structure is observed. In this sense, one may observe a genuine tetraquark.

TABLE III: Results for the $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems in units of MeV. The masses in the fifth column are calculated with the effective quark masses and are theoretical upper limits. The last column lists masses estimated from the ΥB (ΥB_s) threshold.

$bb\bar{b}\bar{n}$ system					
J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(ΥB)
2^+	24.5	24.5	1	15545.0	14782.4
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -2.1 & 3.0 & 6.4 \\ 3.0 & -2.7 & -28.3 \\ 6.4 & -28.3 & 9.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 32.9 \\ -27.0 \\ -0.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.09, -0.62, 0.78\} \\ \{0.25, -0.75, -0.62\} \\ \{0.96, 0.25, 0.09\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 15553.4 \\ 15493.5 \\ 15519.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 14790.7 \\ 14730.8 \\ 14757.1 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -15.5 & 49.0 \\ 49.0 & 16.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 52.2 \\ -50.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.59, 0.81\} \\ \{-0.81, 0.59\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 15572.7 \\ 15469.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 14810.1 \\ 14706.9 \end{pmatrix}$
$bb\bar{b}\bar{s}$ system					
J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(ΥB_s)
2^+	24.8	24.8	1	15723.9	14873.2
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -2.9 & 2.3 & 4.8 \\ 2.3 & -1.9 & -29.4 \\ 4.8 & -29.4 & 10.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 34.2 \\ -26.9 \\ -2.1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.06, -0.63, 0.78\} \\ \{0.20, -0.75, -0.63\} \\ \{0.98, 0.19, 0.08\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 15733.3 \\ 15672.2 \\ 15697.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 14882.6 \\ 14821.5 \\ 14846.3 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -16.8 & 50.9 \\ 50.9 & 16.4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -53.8 \\ 53.4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.81, 0.59\} \\ \{-0.59, -0.81\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 15645.3 \\ 15752.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 14794.6 \\ 14901.8 \end{pmatrix}$

TABLE IV: Results for the $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems in units of MeV. The masses in the fifth column are calculated with the effective quark masses and are theoretical upper limits. The last column lists masses estimated from the B_cD (B_cD_s) threshold.

$cc\bar{b}\bar{n}$ system					
J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(B_cD)
2^+	44.3	44.3	1	8908.6	8344.7
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -9.1 & -12.8 & -27.2 \\ -12.8 & 3.7 & -56.6 \\ -27.2 & -56.6 & 19.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 70.7 \\ -60.6 \\ 4.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.16, -0.62, 0.77\} \\ \{-0.48, -0.63, -0.61\} \\ \{0.86, -0.47, -0.19\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8935.0 \\ 8803.7 \\ 8868.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8371.1 \\ 8239.8 \\ 8304.4 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -35.7 & 98.0 \\ 98.0 & 26.4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -107.5 \\ 98.1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.81, 0.59\} \\ \{-0.59, -0.81\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8756.8 \\ 8962.4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8192.9 \\ 8398.5 \end{pmatrix}$
$cc\bar{b}\bar{s}$ system					
J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(B_cD_s)
2^+	44.0	44.0	1	9086.9	8447.9
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -9.3 & -12.8 & -27.2 \\ -12.8 & 4.5 & -56.6 \\ -27.2 & -56.6 & 19.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 71.1 \\ -60.3 \\ 4.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.16, -0.62, 0.77\} \\ \{-0.48, -0.63, -0.61\} \\ \{0.86, -0.47, -0.20\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 9114.0 \\ 8982.6 \\ 9046.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8475.0 \\ 8343.6 \\ 8407.9 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -36.0 & 98.0 \\ 98.0 & 26.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -107.8 \\ 97.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.81, 0.59\} \\ \{-0.59, -0.81\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8935.1 \\ 9140.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8296.1 \\ 8501.7 \end{pmatrix}$

Let us take a look at the possible S-wave strong decay channels of these compact tetraquark states from Fig. 1. For the scalar states, the dominant channels are possibly η_cD, η_cD_s, $J/\psi D^*$, and $J/\psi D_s^*$. For the axial vector states, possible decay channels are $J/\psi D$, $J/\psi D^*$, η_cD^*, $J/\psi D_s$, $J/\psi D_s^*$, $\eta_cD_s^*$. For the tensor states, the possible decay channels are $J/\psi D^*$ and $J/\psi D_s^*$. Whether relevant channels are open or not depends on the tetraquark mass and flavor conservation. For example, the tensor meson with $M = 5097$ (5203) is around the threshold of $J/\psi D^*$ ($J/\psi D_s^*$) and the decay is marginal. However, it is still possible to find a resonance slightly above the threshold if one considers the limitation of the present estimation method. More channels are possible if the D-wave decays are considered. In

Fig. 1, we present several numbers in the subscript of the meson-meson states. When a number is equal to the spin of an initial state, the decay for the initial state into that meson-meson channel through S- or D-wave is allowed.

By replacing the charm quark with the bottom quark, we get the results for the $bb\bar{b}\bar{n}$ and $bb\bar{b}\bar{s}$ systems in Table III. The rough position is also given in Fig. 1 and similar analysis for the possible decay channels is straightforward.

Now we move on to the $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ systems. Such states are explicitly exotic. Up to now, the doubly charmed baryon Ξ_{cc} has not been confirmed since the first announcement by the SELEX Collaboration [94]. There is no experimental result on the search for the proposed T_{cc} ($cc\bar{q}\bar{q}$) tetraquark. But their existence is not excluded. If one replaces a light antiquark in the T_{cc} with an anti-bottom quark, one gets a currently discussed tetraquark system $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$. The exchange of bottom and charm results in another exotic tetraquark system $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$. We present the results for the $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ systems in Tabs. IV and V, respectively. In Fig. 2, we display the rough positions of these possible tetraquarks, where the masses in the threshold approach are adopted. It is easy to judge their possible decays from the figure.

TABLE V: Results for the $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ systems in units of MeV. The masses in the fifth column are calculated with the effective quark masses and are theoretical upper limits. The last column lists masses estimated from the B_cB (B_cB_s) threshold.

$bb\bar{c}\bar{n}$ system					
J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(B_cD)
2^+	32.8	32.8	1	12225.2	11674.2
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} 4.0 & 4.5 & 9.6 \\ 4.5 & -24.3 & -30.5 \\ 9.6 & -30.5 & 6.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -44.8 \\ 26.7 \\ 4.1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.18,-0.83,-0.53\} \\ \{0.26,-0.48,0.84\} \\ \{0.95,0.29,-0.13\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12147.6 \\ 12219.1 \\ 12196.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11596.6 \\ 11668.1 \\ 11645.5 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -10.4 & 52.9 \\ 52.9 & 27.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 64.8 \\ -47.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.58,0.82\} \\ \{-0.82,0.58\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12257.2 \\ 12144.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11706.2 \\ 11593.8 \end{pmatrix}$
$bb\bar{c}\bar{s}$ system					
J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(B_cD_s)
2^+	34.9	34.9	1	12405.9	11766.9
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} 5.1 & 3.8 & 8.0 \\ 3.8 & -29.1 & -31.7 \\ 8.0 & -31.7 & 5.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -48.9 \\ 25.5 \\ 4.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.14,-0.85,-0.51\} \\ \{0.24,-0.47,0.85\} \\ \{0.96,0.24,-0.14\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12322.1 \\ 12396.5 \\ 12375.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11683.1 \\ 11757.5 \\ 11736.8 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -9.9 & 54.9 \\ 54.9 & 30.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 68.4 \\ -48.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.57,0.82\} \\ \{-0.82,0.57\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12439.4 \\ 12322.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11800.4 \\ 11683.7 \end{pmatrix}$



FIG. 2: Proposed $cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ (left) and $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$ (right) tetraquark states. The solid (dashed) line corresponds to the case $q = u, d$ ($q = s$). The dotted line indicates various meson-meson thresholds. When a number in the subscript of a meson-meson state is equal to the spin of an initial state, the decay for the initial state into that meson-meson channel through S- or D-wave is allowed. The masses are given in units of MeV.

TABLE VI: Results for the $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ systems in units of MeV. The masses in the fifth column are calculated with the effective quark masses and are theoretical upper limits. The last two columns list masses estimated from the ΥD (ΥD_s) and BB_c (B_sB_c) thresholds, respectively.

$bc\bar{b}\bar{n}$ system

J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(ΥD)	(BB_c)
2^+	$\begin{pmatrix} 32.3 & -11.9 \\ -11.9 & 43.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 51.3 \\ 24.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.53, 0.85\} \\ \{-0.85, -0.53\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12243.7 \\ 12217.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11468.1 \\ 11441.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11692.7 \\ 11666.2 \end{pmatrix}$
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -56.1 & -12.3 & 23.6 & 11.9 & 20.0 & -10.4 \\ -12.3 & 0.8 & 14.0 & 20.0 & 0.0 & -42.4 \\ 23.6 & 14.0 & 11.5 & -10.4 & -42.4 & 0.0 \\ 11.9 & 20.0 & -10.4 & -7.7 & -4.9 & 9.4 \\ 20.0 & 0.0 & -42.4 & -4.9 & -1.6 & 5.6 \\ -10.4 & -42.4 & 0.0 & 9.4 & 5.6 & -22.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -95.6 \\ 53.7 \\ -47.5 \\ 31.0 \\ -15.6 \\ -2.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.66, 0.35, -0.35, -0.27, -0.34, 0.36\} \\ \{-0.03, -0.39, -0.69, -0.02, 0.55, 0.26\} \\ \{-0.41, 0.48, 0.23, -0.18, 0.29, 0.66\} \\ \{-0.03, 0.70, -0.34, 0.29, 0.30, -0.46\} \\ \{0.62, -0.05, 0.48, 0.15, 0.60, 0.03\} \\ \{0.02, -0.03, -0.04, 0.89, -0.23, 0.40\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12096.8 \\ 12246.1 \\ 12144.9 \\ 12223.4 \\ 12176.8 \\ 12190.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11321.3 \\ 11470.5 \\ 11369.4 \\ 11447.8 \\ 11401.3 \\ 11414.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11545.8 \\ 11695.1 \\ 11593.9 \\ 11672.4 \\ 11625.8 \\ 11639.2 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -27.7 & -9.7 & 23.8 & 73.5 \\ -9.7 & -36.8 & 73.5 & 0.0 \\ 23.8 & 73.5 & -106.1 & -24.2 \\ 73.5 & 0.0 & -24.2 & 18.4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -165.4 \\ 73.3 \\ -70.5 \\ 10.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.29, -0.48, 0.80, 0.22\} \\ \{0.58, -0.10, -0.07, 0.81\} \\ \{-0.74, 0.31, -0.24, 0.55\} \\ \{0.18, 0.82, 0.55, 0.02\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12027.0 \\ 12265.7 \\ 12121.9 \\ 12202.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11251.4 \\ 11490.2 \\ 11346.4 \\ 11427.1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11476.0 \\ 11714.7 \\ 11570.9 \\ 11651.7 \end{pmatrix}$

$bc\bar{b}\bar{s}$ system

J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(ΥD_s)	(B_sB_c)
2^+	$\begin{pmatrix} 32.3 & -11.3 \\ -11.3 & 44.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 51.4 \\ 25.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.51, 0.86\} \\ \{-0.86, -0.51\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12422.4 \\ 12396.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11571.7 \\ 11545.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11783.4 \\ 11757.6 \end{pmatrix}$
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -56.7 & -13.2 & 22.6 & 11.3 & 19.2 & -11.2 \\ -13.2 & 0.4 & 13.3 & 19.2 & 0.0 & -43.0 \\ 22.6 & 13.3 & 11.6 & -11.2 & -43.0 & 0.0 \\ 11.3 & 19.2 & -11.2 & -8.3 & -5.3 & 9.1 \\ 19.2 & 0.0 & -43.0 & -5.3 & -0.8 & 5.3 \\ -11.2 & -43.0 & 0.0 & 9.1 & 5.3 & -23.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -95.7 \\ 54.0 \\ -47.0 \\ 31.5 \\ -17.3 \\ -2.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.66, 0.36, -0.34, -0.27, -0.32, 0.37\} \\ \{-0.03, -0.37, -0.70, -0.00, 0.56, 0.25\} \\ \{-0.41, 0.47, 0.24, -0.16, 0.30, 0.66\} \\ \{-0.03, 0.71, -0.32, 0.28, 0.28, -0.48\} \\ \{0.62, -0.05, 0.48, 0.22, 0.58, 0.03\} \\ \{-0.02, -0.02, -0.08, 0.88, -0.27, 0.37\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12275.3 \\ 12425.0 \\ 12324.0 \\ 12402.5 \\ 12353.7 \\ 12368.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11424.6 \\ 11574.4 \\ 11473.3 \\ 11551.8 \\ 11503.1 \\ 11517.8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11636.3 \\ 11786.0 \\ 11685.0 \\ 11763.5 \\ 11714.7 \\ 11729.5 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -28.5 & -9.2 & 22.6 & 74.5 \\ -9.2 & -36.0 & 74.5 & 0.0 \\ 22.6 & 74.5 & -107.3 & -23.1 \\ 74.5 & 0.0 & -23.1 & 18.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -165.9 \\ 73.6 \\ -72.9 \\ 11.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.28, -0.48, 0.80, 0.21\} \\ \{0.58, -0.10, -0.07, 0.81\} \\ \{0.75, -0.29, 0.24, -0.55\} \\ \{0.17, 0.82, 0.54, 0.03\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 12205.1 \\ 12444.6 \\ 12298.1 \\ 12382.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11354.4 \\ 11594.0 \\ 11447.5 \\ 11531.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 11566.1 \\ 11805.6 \\ 11659.1 \\ 11743.3 \end{pmatrix}$

C. The $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ systems in the diquark-antidiaquark configuration

The $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ are also hidden-bottom and hidden-charm systems respectively. Their features are different from the states in the last subsection. The former case corresponds to the excited D and D_s mesons with much higher masses than the $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$. The latter case corresponds to the excited $\bar{B}$ and $\bar{B}_s$ mesons with lower masses than the $bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$. Now the first two heavy quarks are different in the flavor space and there is no constraint from the Pauli principle. Therefore, the number of allowed states is doubled. There are two types of meson-meson threshold one may compare to, $(c\bar{b})(b\bar{q})$ and $(b\bar{b})(c\bar{q})$ ($(b\bar{c})(c\bar{q})$ and $(c\bar{c})(b\bar{q})$) for the $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ ($bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$) case. We use both of them in estimating the tetraquark masses. The number of the possible strong decay channels is also bigger than in the previous cases. We show the numerical results in Tabs. VI and VII for the $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ systems, respectively. At present, we cannot determine the accurate values of the masses without solving the bound state problem. One needs further study to answer which set of masses is more physical. The rough positions for these states are given in Fig. 3, where we use the masses estimated with the BB_c, B_sB_c, BJ/ψ, and B_sJ/ψ thresholds.

When discussing the decay patterns, we do not include possible final states containing B_c^*. First, we focus on the $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ case. For the states with $J^P = 0^+$, possible S-wave channels are $\eta_b D$, ΥD^*, $\bar{B}B_c$, $\eta_b D_s$, ΥD_s^*, and $\bar{B}_s B_c$. For the states with $J^P = 1^+$, possible channels are ΥD, $\eta_b D^*$, ΥD^*, $\bar{B}^* B_c$, ΥD_s, $\eta_b D_s^*$, ΥD_s^*, and $\bar{B}_s^* B_c$. For the $J^P = 2^+$ states, possible channels are just ΥD^* and ΥD_s^*. Secondly, we take a look at the $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ case. The possible S-wave channels for $J^P = 0^+$ are $\eta_c\bar{B}$, $J/\psi\bar{B}^*$, B_cD, $\eta_c\bar{B}_s$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_s^*$, and B_cD_s. For $J^P = 1^+$, the channels are $J/\psi\bar{B}$, $\eta_c\bar{B}^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}^*$, B_cD^*, $J/\psi\bar{B}_s$, $\eta_c\bar{B}_s^*$, $J/\psi\bar{B}_s^*$, and $B_cD_s^*$. For $J^P = 2^+$, the channels are $J/\psi\bar{B}^*$ and $J/\psi\bar{B}_s^*$. More channels are possible if the D-wave decay is considered. Whether the channels are open or not is easy to judge from Fig. 3.

D. Numerical results in triquark-q configuration

In section II, we have found that the diquark-antidiquark configuration and the triquark-antiquark configuration give identical results for the cases $J = 2$ and $J = 0$ because the flavor-color-spin wave functions are the same. For

TABLE VII: Results for the $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ systems in units of MeV. The masses in the fifth column are calculated with the effective quark masses and are theoretical upper limits. The last two columns list masses estimated from the B_cD (B_cD_s) and BJ/ψ (B_sJ/ψ) thresholds, respectively.

$bc\bar{c}\bar{n}$ system

J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(B_cD)	(BJ/ψ)
2^+	$\begin{pmatrix} 42.7 & -7.4 \\ -7.4 & 48.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 53.3 \\ 37.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.57, 0.82\} \\ \{-0.82, -0.57\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8917.6 \\ 8901.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8353.7 \\ 8338.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8435.0 \\ 8419.2 \end{pmatrix}$
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -67.7 & -0.9 & 31.1 & 7.4 & 26.4 & -0.8 \\ -0.9 & 11.6 & 8.7 & 26.4 & 0.0 & -49.2 \\ 31.1 & 8.7 & 7.9 & -0.8 & -49.2 & 0.0 \\ 7.4 & 26.4 & -0.8 & -3.7 & -0.4 & 12.4 \\ 26.4 & 0.0 & -49.2 & -0.4 & -23.2 & 3.5 \\ -0.8 & -49.2 & 0.0 & 12.4 & 3.5 & -15.7 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -105.0 \\ -63.5 \\ 56.7 \\ 41.0 \\ -25.0 \\ 4.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.73, 0.10, -0.43, -0.10, -0.50, 0.09\} \\ \{-0.14, 0.58, 0.04, -0.38, 0.08, 0.69\} \\ \{0.06, 0.70, 0.42, 0.22, -0.26, -0.45\} \\ \{0.07, -0.39, 0.71, -0.15, -0.50, 0.28\} \\ \{0.64, -0.01, 0.37, -0.16, 0.65, -0.04\} \\ \{-0.13, -0.02, -0.05, -0.86, -0.08, -0.47\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8759.3 \\ 8800.8 \\ 8921.0 \\ 8905.3 \\ 8839.3 \\ 8869.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8195.4 \\ 8236.9 \\ 8357.1 \\ 8341.4 \\ 8275.4 \\ 8305.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8276.6 \\ 8318.2 \\ 8438.3 \\ 8422.6 \\ 8356.7 \\ 8386.5 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -26.9 & -6.0 & 14.7 & 85.2 \\ -6.0 & -58.4 & 85.2 & 0.0 \\ 14.7 & 85.2 & -125.7 & -15.0 \\ 85.2 & 0.0 & -15.0 & 29.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -187.7 \\ 91.1 \\ -85.3 \\ -0.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.16, -0.54, 0.82, 0.12\} \\ \{0.58, -0.04, -0.03, 0.81\} \\ \{0.79, -0.18, 0.11, -0.57\} \\ \{0.10, 0.82, 0.57, -0.01\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8676.6 \\ 8955.4 \\ 8779.0 \\ 8864.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8112.7 \\ 8391.5 \\ 8215.1 \\ 8300.4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8193.9 \\ 8472.8 \\ 8296.3 \\ 8381.6 \end{pmatrix}$

$bc\bar{c}\bar{s}$ system

J^P	$\langle H_{CM}\rangle$	Eigenvalue	Eigenvector	Mass	(B_cD_s)	(B_sJ/ψ)
2^+	$\begin{pmatrix} 44.5 & -6.8 \\ -6.8 & 48.1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 53.4 \\ 39.3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.61, 0.79\} \\ \{-0.79, -0.61\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 9096.3 \\ 9082.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8457.3 \\ 8443.2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8525.6 \\ 8511.5 \end{pmatrix}$
1^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -69.2 & -1.9 & 30.2 & 6.8 & 25.6 & -1.6 \\ -1.9 & 14.0 & 8.0 & 25.6 & 0.0 & -49.8 \\ 30.2 & 8.0 & 7.1 & -1.6 & -49.8 & 0.0 \\ 6.8 & 25.6 & -1.6 & -2.4 & -0.8 & 12.1 \\ 25.6 & 0.0 & -49.8 & -0.8 & -28.0 & 3.2 \\ -1.6 & -49.8 & 0.0 & 12.1 & 3.2 & -14.1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -106.4 \\ -61.4 \\ 57.7 \\ 40.7 \\ -28.8 \\ 5.5 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{0.73, 0.10, -0.43, -0.09, -0.51, 0.10\} \\ \{-0.13, 0.58, 0.05, -0.38, 0.09, 0.70\} \\ \{0.05, 0.75, 0.34, 0.22, -0.20, -0.49\} \\ \{0.08, -0.31, 0.76, -0.13, -0.51, 0.22\} \\ \{0.66, -0.01, 0.35, -0.10, 0.65, -0.03\} \\ \{-0.10, -0.03, -0.04, -0.88, -0.04, -0.46\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8936.5 \\ 8981.5 \\ 9100.6 \\ 9083.6 \\ 9014.1 \\ 9048.4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8297.5 \\ 8342.5 \\ 8461.6 \\ 8444.6 \\ 8375.1 \\ 8409.4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8365.9 \\ 8410.8 \\ 8529.9 \\ 8512.9 \\ 8443.4 \\ 8477.7 \end{pmatrix}$
0^+	$\begin{pmatrix} -25.9 & -5.5 & 13.6 & 86.2 \\ -5.5 & -63.2 & 86.2 & 0.0 \\ 13.6 & 86.2 & -127.9 & -13.9 \\ 86.2 & 0.0 & -13.9 & 31.6 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} -190.9 \\ 94.0 \\ -85.4 \\ -3.0 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} \{-0.14, -0.56, 0.81, 0.11\} \\ \{0.58, -0.04, -0.03, 0.81\} \\ \{0.79, -0.17, 0.10, -0.57\} \\ \{0.10, 0.81, 0.57, -0.01\} \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8852.0 \\ 9136.9 \\ 8957.5 \\ 9039.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8213.0 \\ 8497.9 \\ 8318.5 \\ 8400.9 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 8281.4 \\ 8566.2 \\ 8386.9 \\ 8469.2 \end{pmatrix}$



FIG. 3: Proposed $bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ (left) and $bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ (right) tetraquark states. The solid (dashed) line corresponds to the case $q = u, d$ ($q = s$). The dotted line indicates various meson-meson thresholds. When a number in the subscript of a meson-meson state is equal to the spin of an initial state, the decay for the initial state into that meson-meson channel through S- or D-wave is allowed. The masses are given in units of MeV.

the $J = 1$ case, their explicit spin wave functions are different, which results from the coupling order in the spin space. The resulting color-spin bases are different and the CMI matrices are not equal if the color-spin mixing is not considered. After the diagonalization for the matrix $\langle H_{CM}\rangle$ is performed, one finds that the results in the two configurations are also equal. We show in Tab. VIII the diagonal of the matrix and its eigenvalues in the triquark-antiquark configuration for the case $J = 1$. It is obvious that the tetraquark spectra in these two configurations are

the same from the comparison with previous results. By comparing the numbers in the two columns of Tab. VIII, one understands the importance of the mixing effect in the triquark-antiquark configuration.

TABLE VIII: The diagonal of the matrix $\langle H_{CM}\rangle$ and its eigenvalues for the various systems in the case $J^P = 1^+$ in the triquark-antiquark configuration. The values are given in units of MeV.

System	$diag\Big(\langle H_{CM}\rangle\Big)$	Eigenvalues
$cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$	$(-19.3, -5.8, 15.9)$	$(-72.8, 69.4, -5.7)$
$cc\bar{c}\bar{s}$	$(-22.0, -6.3, 15.1)$	$(-76.0, 67.1, -4.3)$
$bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$	$(0.4, -5.2, 9.9)$	$(32.9, -27.0, -0.8)$
$bb\bar{b}\bar{s}$	$(-0.1, -4.7, 10.0)$	$(34.2, -26.9, -2.1)$
$cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$	$(-12.6, 7.3, 19.5)$	$(70.7, -60.6, 4.0)$
$cc\bar{b}\bar{s}$	$(-12.2, 7.4, 19.6)$	$(71.1, -60.3, 4.0)$
$bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$	$(-10.6, -9.7, 6.3)$	$(-44.8, 26.7, 4.1)$
$bb\bar{c}\bar{s}$	$(-14.1, -9.9, 5.5)$	$(-48.9, 25.5, 4.8)$
$bc\bar{b}\bar{q}$	$(-29.7, -25.6, 11.5, -8.3, -1.1, -22.9)$	$(-95.6, 53.7, -47.5, 31.0, -15.6, -2.2)$
$bc\bar{b}\bar{s}$	$(-31.1, -25.2, 11.6, -8.3, -0.8, -23.2)$	$(-95.7, 54.0, -47.0, 31.5, -17.3, -2.5)$
$bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$	$(-15.7, -40.4, 7.9, -17.1, -9.9, -15.7)$	$(-105.0, -63.5, 56.7, 41.0, -25.0, 4.9)$
$bc\bar{c}\bar{s}$	$(-15.5, -39.7, 7.1, -20.2, -10.2, -14.1)$	$(-106.4, -61.4, 57.7, 40.7, -28.8, 5.5)$

IV. DISCUSSIONS

In this work, we have calculated the mass splittings for the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ type tetraquark systems with the color-magnetic interaction, where $q = u, d, s$ and $Q = c$ or b. We have also estimated roughly the mass positions of these states. We have considered both the diquark-antidiquark $[(QQ)(\bar{Q}\bar{q})]$ and triquark-antiquark $[(QQ\bar{Q})\bar{q}]$ configurations and obtained the same numerical results. We notice that one does not need to distinguish the configurations for a compact $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ system once the mixing between different color-spin states is considered.

The role of the color-spin mixing is different for the $J = 2$, $J = 1$, and $J = 0$ cases in a specific system. For all the discussed systems, the $J^P = 0^+$ states get the largest mass gap from the mixing effect and all the highest and lowest states are scalar. In our calculation, the largest mass gaps for the $cc\bar{c}\bar{n}(cc\bar{c}\bar{s})$, $bb\bar{b}\bar{n}(bb\bar{b}\bar{s})$, $cc\bar{b}\bar{n}(cc\bar{b}\bar{s})$, $bb\bar{c}\bar{n}(bb\bar{c}\bar{s})$, $bc\bar{b}\bar{n}(bc\bar{b}\bar{s})$ and $bc\bar{c}\bar{n}(bc\bar{c}\bar{s})$ systems are 247(248) MeV, 103(107) MeV, 206(206) MeV, 112(116) MeV, 239(240) MeV and 279(285) MeV, respectively.

We have used two parameter schemes to estimate the masses of the compact $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks. In the effective quark mass scheme, our results are only theoretical upper limits. In the reference threshold method, the rough masses probably are close to the physical ones. We collect the rough masses for the systems in Table IX, where the tetraquark states without constraint from the Pauli principle and those with exotic flavor are labeled. The results are preliminary since the calculation does not involve dynamics. More studies are needed to clarify the mass spectrum of the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ systems. Whether there exist possible stable $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks also needs dynamical investigations. It is easy to find the possible rearrangement decay patterns from Figs. 1, 2, and 3.

To summarize, we have calculated the mass splittings and estimated the rough masses of the $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ tetraquarks which are explicitly exotic ($cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$ and $bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$) or hidden exotic (excited D (D_s) and $\bar{B}$ ($\bar{B}_s$)). We list their decay patterns as shown in the figures which may be helpful to experimental search. If such a high mass $c\bar{q}$-like state could be observed, its tetraquark nature is easy to be identified. Moreover, such a state should be accompanied by many partner states. We want to emphasize that the derived mass splittings should be reliable, although the present simple chromomagnetic interaction model cannot give accurate predictions of the tetraquark masses. However, one can get the masses of its partner states using the mass splittings derived in the present work once a $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{q}$ tetraquark is observed in the future. Hopefully these intriguing states can be searched for at LHC. The $cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$ may also be produced at BELLE2.

Acknowledgments

This project is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11175073. No. 11275115, No. 11222547, No. 11575008, No. 11261130311, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Univer-

TABLE IX: Comparison for the masses of different meson systems with only one light antiquark. The symbol (*) means that the system is not constrained by the Pauli principle and the number of mesons is doubled comparing to the states constrained by the Pauli principle. The symbol ($) indicates explicitly exotic tetraquark states.

System	Mass (GeV)	System	Mass (GeV)
		$bb\bar{b}\bar{q}$	~ 14.7
$(*)cb\bar{b}\bar{q}$	~ 11.5	$(\$)bb\bar{c}\bar{q}$	~ 11.6
$(\$)cc\bar{b}\bar{q}$	~ 8.2	$(*)bc\bar{c}\bar{q}$	~ 8.2
$cc\bar{c}\bar{q}$	~ 5.0	$b\bar{q}$	~ 5.3
$c\bar{q}$	~ 2.0		

sities and 973 program. XL is also supported by the National Youth Top-notch Talent Support Program (Thousands-of-Talents Scheme).

[1] M. B. Voloshin, "Charmonium," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **61**, 455 (2008) [arXiv:0711.4556 [hep-ph]].
[2] N. Drenska, R. Faccini, F. Piccinini, A. Polosa, F. Renga and C. Sabelli, "New Hadronic Spectroscopy," Riv. Nuovo Cim. **33**, 633 (2010) [arXiv:1006.2741 [hep-ph]].
[3] H. X. Chen, W. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, "The hidden-charm pentaquark and tetraquark states," arXiv:1601.02092 [hep-ph].
[4] R. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, "Hidden-charm molecular pentaquarks and their charm-strange partners," arXiv:1601.03233 [hep-ph].
[5] A. Hosaka, T. Iijima, K. Miyabayashi, Y. Sakai and S. Yasui, "Exotic Hadrons with Heavy Flavors -X, Y, Z and Related States," arXiv:1603.09229 [hep-ph].
[6] S. L. Zhu, "New hadron states," Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **17**, 283 (2008) [hep-ph/0703225].
[7] E. S. Swanson, "The New heavy mesons: A Status report," Phys. Rept. **429**, 243 (2006) [hep-ph/0601110].
[8] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, no. 22, 222002 (2014) [arXiv:1404.1903 [hep-ex]].
[9] S. K. Choi *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 142001 (2008) [arXiv:0708.1790 [hep-ex]].
[10] K. Chilikin *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 11, 112009 (2014) [arXiv:1408.6457 [hep-ex]].
[11] R. Mizuk *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **80**, 031104 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2869 [hep-ex]].
[12] R. Mizuk *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **78**, 072004 (2008) [arXiv:0806.4098 [hep-ex]].
[13] T. Xiao, S. Dobbs, A. Tomaradze and K. K. Seth, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 366 (2013) [arXiv:1304.3036 [hep-ex]].
[14] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 11, 112003 (2015) [arXiv:1506.06018 [hep-ex]].
[15] Z. Q. Liu *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 252002 (2013) [arXiv:1304.0121 [hep-ex]].
[16] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 252001 (2013) [arXiv:1303.5949 [hep-ex]].
[17] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 22, 222002 (2015) [arXiv:1509.05620 [hep-ex]].
[18] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, no. 2, 022001 (2014) [arXiv:1310.1163 [hep-ex]].
[19] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 9, 092006 (2015) [arXiv:1509.01398 [hep-ex]].
[20] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, no. 24, 242001 (2013) [arXiv:1309.1896 [hep-ex]].
[21] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], "Observation of $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0 h_c$ and a Neutral Charmoniumlike Structure $Z_c(4020)^0$," Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 21, 212002 (2014) [arXiv:1409.6577 [hep-ex]].
[22] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, no. 13, 132001 (2014) [arXiv:1308.2760 [hep-ex]].
[23] M. Ablikim *et al.* [BESIII Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, no. 18, 182002 (2015) [arXiv:1507.02404 [hep-ex]].
[24] A. Bondar *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 122001 (2012) [arXiv:1110.2251 [hep-ex]].
[25] D. Besson *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **68**, 032002 (2003) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **75**, 119908 (2007)] [hep-ex/0305100].
[26] P. Krokovny *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 262002 (2003) [hep-ex/0308019].
[27] B. Aubert *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 242001 (2003) [hep-ex/0304021].
[28] A. V. Evdokimov *et al.* [SELEX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 242001 (2004) [hep-ex/0406045].
[29] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985).
[30] H. Y. Cheng and W. S. Hou, Phys. Lett. B **566**, 193 (2003) [hep-ph/0305038].
[31] Y. Q. Chen and X. Q. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 232001 (2004) [hep-ph/0407062].
[32] A. Hayashigaki and K. Terasaki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **114**, 1191 (2006) [hep-ph/0410393].
[33] L. Maiani, F. Piccinini, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 054009 (2004) [hep-ph/0407025].
[34] Y. R. Liu, S. L. Zhu, Y. B. Dai and C. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 094009 (2004) [hep-ph/0407157].
[35] C. B. Lang, L. Leskovec, D. Mohler, S. Prelovsek and R. M. Woloshyn, "D_s mesons with DK and $D*K$ scattering near threshold," Phys. Rev. D **90**, no. 3, 034510 (2014) [arXiv:1403.8103 [hep-lat]].
[36] V. M. Abazov *et al.* [D0 Collaboration], [arXiv:1602.07588 [hep-ex]].
[37] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 7, 074024 (2016) [arXiv:1602.08642 [hep-ph]].

[38] L. Tang and C. F. Qiao, arXiv:1603.04761 [hep-ph].
[39] Z. G. Wang, arXiv:1602.08711 [hep-ph].
[40] W. Wang and R. Zhu, arXiv:1602.08806 [hep-ph].
[41] W. Chen, H. X. Chen, X. Liu, T. G. Steele and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1602.08916 [hep-ph].
[42] C. M. Zanetti, M. Nielsen and K. P. Khemchandani, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 096011 (2016) [arXiv:1602.09041 [hep-ph]].
[43] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, arXiv:1603.00290 [hep-ph].
[44] Y. R. Liu, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, "$X(5568)$ and and its partner states," Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 7, 074023 (2016) [arXiv:1603.01131 [hep-ph]].
[45] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 9, 094006 (2016) [arXiv:1603.01471 [hep-ph]].
[46] J. M. Dias, K. P. Khemchandani, A. Martnez Torres, M. Nielsen and C. M. Zanetti, Phys. Lett. B **758**, 235 (2016) [arXiv:1603.02249 [hep-ph]].
[47] Z. G. Wang, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, no. 5, 279 (2016) [arXiv:1603.02498 [hep-ph]].
[48] X. G. He and P. Ko, arXiv:1603.02915 [hep-ph].
[49] F. Stancu, arXiv:1603.03322 [hep-ph].
[50] F. Goerke, T. Gutsche, M. A. Ivanov, J. G. Korner, V. E. Lyubovitskij and P. Santorelli, "Four-quark structure of Zc(3900), Z(4430) and Xb(5568) states," arXiv:1608.04656 [hep-ph].
[51] Y. R. Liu, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Light Pseudoscalar Meson and Heavy Meson Scattering Lengths, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 094026 (2009) [arXiv:0904.1770 [hep-ph]].
[52] S. S. Agaev, K. Azizi and H. Sundu, arXiv:1603.02708 [hep-ph].
[53] R. Chen and X. Liu, "Is the newly reported $X(5568)$ a $B\bar{K}$ molecular state?," Phys. Rev. D **94**, no. 3, 034006 (2016) [arXiv:1607.05566 [hep-ph]].
[54] J. X. Lu, X. L. Ren and L. S. Geng, "$B_s\pi$–$B\bar{K}$ interaction in finite volume and the $X(5568)$," arXiv:1607.06327 [hep-ph].
[55] The LHCb Collaboration [LHCb Collaboration], LHCb-CONF-2016-004, CERN-LHCb-CONF-2016-004.
[56] X. H. Liu and G. Li, arXiv:1603.00708 [hep-ph].
[57] F. K. Guo, U. G. Meissner and B. S. Zou, Commun. Theor. Phys. **65**, no. 5, 593 (2016) [arXiv:1603.06316 [hep-ph]].
[58] Q. F. L and Y. B. Dong, arXiv:1603.06417 [hep-ph].
[59] A. Esposito, A. Pilloni and A. D. Polosa, Phys. Lett. B **758**, 292 (2016) [arXiv:1603.07667 [hep-ph]].
[60] M. Albaladejo, J. Nieves, E. Oset, Z. F. Sun and X. Liu, Phys. Lett. B **757**, 515 (2016) [arXiv:1603.09230 [hep-ph]].
[61] A. Ali, L. Maiani, A. D. Polosa and V. Riquer, "$B_c^{\pm}$ decays into tetraquarks," arXiv:1604.01731 [hep-ph].
[62] R. Albuquerque, S. Narison, A. Rabemananjara and D. Rabetiarivony, "Nature of the $X(5568)$: a critical Laplace sum rule analysis at N2LO," arXiv:1604.05566 [hep-ph].
[63] X. Chen and J. Ping, "Is the exotic $X(5568)$ a bound state?," arXiv:1604.05651 [hep-ph].
[64] Y. Jin and S. Y. Li, "Large Production Rate of New $B_s^0\pi^{\pm}$ and $D_s^{\pm}\pi^{\pm}$ States in High Energy Multi-Production Process," arXiv:1603.03250 [hep-ph].
[65] T. J. Burns and E. S. Swanson, "Interpreting the $X(5568)$," arXiv:1603.04366 [hep-ph].
[66] X. W. Kang and J. A. Oller, "P-wave coupled-channel scattering of $B_s\pi$, $B_s^*\pi$, $B\overline{K}$, $B^*\overline{K}$ and the puzzling $X(5568)$," arXiv:1606.06665 [hep-ph].
[67] Talk given by E. Burelo at ICHEP 2016 (https://indico.cern.ch/event/432527/contributions/1072027/attachments/1320125/1979419/B-hadron-ECB.pdf, see also http://cms.web.cern.ch/news/latest-results-cms-presented-ichep-2016).
[68] Y. Iwasaki, "A Possible Model for New Resonances-Exotics and Hidden Charm," Prog. Theor. Phys. **54**, 492 (1975).
[69] J. Wu, Y. R. Liu, K. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1605.01134 [hep-ph].
[70] K. T. Chao, "The (c c) - (anti-c anti-c) (Diquark - anti-Diquark) States in e+ e- Annihilation," Z. Phys. C **7**, 317 (1981).
[71] J. P. Ader, J. M. Richard and P. Taxil, "Do Narrow Heavy Multi - Quark States Exist?," Phys. Rev. D **25**, 2370 (1982).
[72] B. Silvestre-Brac and C. Semay, "Systematics of L = 0 q-2 anti-q-2 systems," Z. Phys. C **57**, 273 (1993).
[73] L. Heller and J. A. Tjon, "On Bound States of Heavy $Q^2\bar{Q}^2$ Systems," Phys. Rev. D **32**, 755 (1985).
[74] R. J. Lloyd and J. P. Vary, "All charm tetraquarks," Phys. Rev. D **70**, 014009 (2004) [hep-ph/0311179].
[75] N. Barnea, J. Vijande and A. Valcarce, "Four-quark spectroscopy within the hyperspherical formalism," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 054004 (2006) [hep-ph/0604010].
[76] B. Silvestre-Brac, "Systematics of $QQ\bar{Q}\bar{Q}$ systems with a chromomagnetic interaction," Phys. Rev. D **46**, 2179 (1992).
[77] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], "Observation of $J/\psi p$ Resonances Consistent with Pentaquark States in $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi K^- p$ Decays," Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 072001 (2015) [arXiv:1507.03414 [hep-ex]].
[78] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], "Model-independent evidence for $J/\psi p$ contributions to $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi p K^-$ decays," Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no. 8, 082002 (2016) [arXiv:1604.05708 [hep-ex]].
[79] R. Aaij *et al.* [LHCb Collaboration], "Evidence for exotic hadron contributions to $\Lambda_b^0 \to J/\psi p \pi^-$ decays," Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no. 8, 082003 (2016) [arXiv:1606.06999 [hep-ex]].
[80] J. J. Wu, R. Molina, E. Oset and B. S. Zou, Prediction of narrow N^* and Λ^* resonances with hidden charm above 4 GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 232001 (2010), [arXiv:1007.0573 [nucl-th]].
[81] J. J. Wu, R. Molina, E. Oset and B. S. Zou, Dynamically generated N^* and Λ^* resonances in the hidden charm sector around 4.3 GeV, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 015202 (2011), [arXiv:1011.2399 [nucl-th]].
[82] Z. C. Yang, Z. F. Sun, J. He, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, The possible hidden-charm molecular baryons composed of anti-charmed meson and charmed baryon, Chin. Phys. C **36**, 6 (2012), [arXiv:1105.2901 [hep-ph]].
[83] W. L. Wang, F. Huang, Z. Y. Zhang and B. S. Zou, $\Sigma_c\bar{D}$ and $\Lambda_c\bar{D}$ states in a chiral quark model, Phys. Rev. C **84**, 015203 (2011), [arXiv:1101.0453 [nucl-th]].
[84] M. Karliner and H. J. Lipkin, "A Diquark - triquark model for the K N pentaquark," Phys. Lett. B **575**, 249 (2003)

[hep-ph/0402260].
[85] A. De Rujula, H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, "Hadron Masses in a Gauge Theory," Phys. Rev. D **12**, 147 (1975).
[86] F. Buccella, H. Hogaasen, J. M. Richard and P. Sorba, Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 743 (2007) [hep-ph/0608001].
[87] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).
[88] H. Hogaasen and P. Sorba, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19**, 2403 (2004) [hep-ph/0406078].
[89] F. Buccella, H. Hogaasen, J. M. Richard and P. Sorba, Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 743 (2007) [hep-ph/0608001].
[90] F. Buccella, H. Hogaasen, J. M. Richard and P. Sorba, "Chromomagnetism, flavour symmetry breaking and S-wave tetraquarks," Eur. Phys. J. C **49**, 743 (2007) [hep-ph/0608001].
[91] J. Wu, Y. R. Liu, K. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, "The $X(4140)$, $X(4270)$, $X(4500)$ and $X(4700)$ and their $cs\bar{c}\bar{s}$ tetraquark partners," arXiv:1608.07900 [hep-ph].
[92] K. A. Olive *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], "Review of Particle Physics," Chin. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).
[93] W. Park, A. Park and S. H. Lee, "Dibaryons in a constituent quark model," Phys. Rev. D **92**, no. 1, 014037 (2015) [arXiv:1506.01123 [nucl-th]].
[94] M. Mattson *et al.* [SELEX Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 112001 (2002) [hep-ex/0208014].